A Review of Thread-Trailing Devices for Eastern Box Turtles (*Terrapene carolina carolina*)

Jessica M. Vannatta[a*] and Matthew Klukowski[a]

[a]*Department of Biology, Middle Tennessee State University, Box 60, Murfreesboro, TN USA*
[*]*Corresponding Author e-mail: jessiwest1@outlook.com*

Abstract. —Thread-trailing is a tracking technique used to monitor precise movements of turtles, but there are positive and negative aspects to using this method. Thread-trailing is inexpensive but can be labor-intensive, and there are often issues with the apparatus itself. We employed thread-trailing devices to track Eastern Box Turtles (*Terrapene carolina carolina*) in a suburban wetland habitat in middle Tennessee, USA. Unfortunately, we had limited success that was likely due to insufficient attachment and wet weather conditions at our field site. However, many researchers have used thread-trailing devices successfully, and this method is often used in conjunction with other tracking methods, such as radio-telemetry or mark-recapture. We discuss the pros and cons of thread-trailing based on our experiences with this method, compare thread-trailing to other common tracking methods, and make recommendations about the thread-trailing apparatus. This information will aid other researchers in determining if the thread-trailing technique is appropriate for monitoring turtles in their study, and if so, how to best construct the thread-trailing device.

Key Words.—mark-recapture, movement, radio-telemetry, thread-trailer, tracking

Tracking is a useful way to monitor turtles and gather important information on their ecology, such as movement patterns, home range size, and habitat usage. Several tracking techniques have been used to observe movements and estimate home range size of turtles, including thread-trailers, mark-recapture, and radio-telemetry, with each having distinct advantages and disadvantages. Thread-trailing is a common tracking method for box turtles (e.g., Stickel 1950; Legler 1960; Hallgren-Scaffidi 1986; Donaldson and Echternacht 2005) and is often used in conjunction with radio-telemetry (e.g., Donaldson and Echternacht 2005) or mark-recapture (e.g., Hallgren-Scaffidi 1986) because it provides more accurate measures of movement than radio-telemetry or mark-recapture by recording actual movement pathways (Iglay et al. 2006).

Breder (1927) was the first to use a thread-trailing device in hopes of more closely following turtles to observe their day-to-day behavior. Breder (1927) created this device to better understand homing instinct, travel routes, movement within the home range, migratory patterns, mating activity, nesting activity, use of water, and behavioral patterns, which are not always easy to observe with radio-telemetry or mark-recapture alone. Breder's (1927) device consisted of a spool of thread held by a wire that was wound through a hole cut in the turtles' marginal scute. Breder's (1927) device has been modified over time, with more modern thread-trailing devices consisting of a spool of thread housed in some type of container. The container is affixed to the turtle's shell, and as the turtle moves the thread unwinds and can be collected and measured.

While thread-trailing is very useful for obtaining detailed movement information, it is vulnerable to mishaps, such as thread breaking or running out prematurely, and it is labor-intensive (Breder 1927; Dodd 2001). For thread-trailing studies, turtles need to be

checked daily to add additional thread and to ensure the apparatus is still properly attached, as well as to verify that the turtle has not become entangled.

Another tracking technique, mark-recapture (i.e., marking the individual with a unique identifier for future identification), is often used in home range studies because turtles are easy to mark for future identification, and it is inherently inexpensive (Cagle 1939; Dolbeer 1969; Stickel 1989; Dodd 2001). Although the marks are semi-permanent, they can nonetheless be lost if the periphery of the carapace wears or is chewed by a predator. In mark-recapture studies, researchers are not guaranteed to find an individual turtle multiple times to accurately estimate home range size or observe movement patterns. However, use of turtle-tracking dogs has proven to be a useful technique for increasing the number of recaptured turtles (Schwartz and Schwartz 1974; Kapfer et al. 2012). Kapfer et al. (2012) used wildlife detector dogs for two consecutive days in an 11 ha study area and captured 25 box turtles (three of which were recaptures on day two), while only 22 box turtles were found in 316.5 h of visual encounter surveys in the same 11 ha site.

Radio-telemetry is a useful and efficient tracking method that is normally less labor-intensive because it involves tracking that is dependent upon a set sampling protocol (e.g., monthly, weekly, bi-weekly, tri-weekly) rather than finding turtles every day, which is typically required for thread-trailer studies (Dodd 2001). Radio-telemetry also generally allows for continuous monitoring of individual movements over longer time-periods. However, radio-telemetry is not used for real-time tracking and therefore does not track exact movement pathways resulting in the loss of detailed movement information that is available when using thread-trailing. Additionally, radio-telemetry equipment (i.e., transmitters, receivers, antennae) is more expensive than equipment required for other tracking methods (Waddell et al. 2016). While radio-transmitters are normally smaller than thread-trailing devices, they may also fall off the turtle depending on attachment method, and the battery in the transmitter can malfunction or become depleted sooner than expected, potentially resulting in loss of the turtle.

If placed and monitored correctly, thread-trailers can be used to observe many aspects of turtle movement often in conjunction with another tracking method. For example, Hallgren-Scaffidi (1986) used thread-trailers to track box turtles and estimated a home range of 0.955 ha, but with mark-recapture data from repeated captures, the average home range estimate was only 0.733 ha. Iglay et al. (2006) compared thread-trailers and radio-transmitters and found that thread length from thread-trailers was significantly longer than straight-line distance obtained from GPS locations of radio-tracked turtles. Iglay et al. (2006) emphasized how thread-trailing may provide more accurate measure of turtle movements than radio-telemetry, which often underestimates movement patterns as it relies solely on point captures and straight-line distances rather than actual distances that can be acquired with thread-trailing. This is especially the case for researchers interested in capturing information on detailed movements of turtles, such as meandering movement patterns (Iglay et al. 2006). For example, Claussen et al. (1997) used thread-trailers to study detailed movement characteristics of Ornate Box Turtles (*Terrapene ornata ornata*), such as net displacement, mean turning angle, and sinuosity. Claussen et al. (1997) described how thread-trailing is an inexpensive and efficient method for studying more exact movements of turtles, but at times it is subjective (i.e., assuming that the thread is deposited in the exact pattern that the turtle moved when mapping the thread-trail path), and analyses are time-consuming. For a long-term study, checking on turtles with thread-trailers daily can be especially tedious, and

only a few turtles can be tracked at a time (Stickel 1950; Jim Basinger pers. comm.). However, for short-term studies (e.g., Claussen et al. 1997 who tracked each turtle only 1–5 d), this method may be appropriate, especially in conjunction with other tracking methods. For instance, Marchand et al. (2004) also noted that thread-trailing more accurately measured actual distance traveled than radio-telemetry that only measured straight-line distance, whereas radio-telemetry was especially useful for estimating home range size and habitat usage. Marchand et al. (2004) used thread-trailers to monitor hourly movement of Eastern Box Turtles in a wetland habitat and found that turtles moved an average of 10.3 m per hour. While we did not measure the accuracy of thread-trailing compared to radio-telemetry or mark-recapture methods in this study, we concur that all three have inherent benefits and weaknesses.

The goal of this project was to use thread-trailers to track fine-scale movements of Eastern Box Turtle (*Terrapene carolina carolina*) movements in a suburban wetland habitat in middle Tennessee in order to better understand their movement ecology as it pertains to habitat usage. We describe our experiences with the thread-trailing device and the advantages and disadvantages of thread-trailers realized from their use in this study. We also compare the use of thread-trailers to other tracking methods, namely mark-recapture and radio-telemetry, and make general recommendations based on our experiences.

THE THREAD-TRAILING DEVICE

We attached thread-trailers to six adult Eastern Box Turtles found at Nickajack Trace and Black Fox Wetlands, Murfreesboro, TN, USA between April–June 2013. We utilized several different thread-trailer models that were similar to those described in Claussen et al. (1997) and Donaldson and Echternacht (2005). Thread-trailers weighed between 30–50 g and consisted of either a small plastic pill bottle or a small plastic film canister with a spool of sewing thread inside (Fig. 1). The spool of thread was either a spool of store-bought polyester thread or a wooden spool (Woodworks Ltd., Fort Worth, TX, USA) on which we spun nylon thread. The spool was suspended by a wooden dowel and housed within the plastic container, which had a small hole drilled in it to allow the thread to unwind. The ends of the dowel generally extended well beyond the edges of the plastic container; therefore the dowel was held in place by placing wooden caps on each end (see Fig. 1). All wooden components were stained to protect them from the elements. Making sure the shell was free of dirt and debris, we attached thread-trailers to the posterior region of the carapace

FIG. 1. Eastern Box Turtle (*Terrapene carolina carolina*) AJX with an attached thread-trailer made with a film canister (A); turtle AJX with an attached thread-trailer with the thread tied to a limb at the point of capture (B); and turtle BCJ with an attached thread-trailer made with a pill bottle (C). All thread-trailers pictured are held in place by epoxy glue and epoxy putty and painted black to reduce their conspicuousness.

using some combination of epoxy glue, epoxy putty, and/or duct tape to stabilize and hold it in place. If epoxy glue or putty was used, it was allowed to set overnight (i.e., >8 h) while the subject was housed in a small plastic container or cardboard box. For the last three turtles with thread-trailers attached, we painted the epoxy and parts of the apparatus black with fingernail polish to make it less conspicuous. We tied the end of the thread to an object (e.g., small tree or log) at the point of capture, used flagging tape to mark the area, recorded a GPS point (Garmin Etrex 30, Olathe, KS, USA), and released the turtle to move freely.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Most of the thread-trailers employed in this study only remained attached to the box turtle for one day or less. All five turtles which had a thread-trailer made of a pill bottle either ran out of thread or lost the thread-trailer within a day (Table 1). The single turtle which had a thread-trailer made from a film canister ran out of thread followed by the device falling off within a week. The average length of thread collected from the six turtles was only 11.6 ± 6.89 m (2.7–23.2 m). For the five box turtles whose thread-trailer device only remained attached for at most one day, one turtle moved 23.2 m and another only moved 2.7 m before losing the device. The single turtle who retained the thread-trailer for several days moved only 7.5 m before running out of thread. Although we attempted to employ small, compact thread-trailers, we were unable to obtain proper attachment or have enough thread.

HABITAT CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is important to consider habitat conditions when choosing an appropriate tracking method. Thread-trailers may not work well for tracking precise movements in open areas where there is no possibility for the thread to "catch" on vegetation (Claussen et al. 1997). Furthermore, thread-trailers may not stay attached in exceptionally wet habitats, which is what we experienced. It is possible that our wetland site was simply too wet for the thread-trailer device that repeatedly fell off in the water or after a rain event even with tape, epoxy putty, and epoxy glue. We believe that at least three of the six turtles lost their thread-trailer primarily due to rain events (individuals HJK, BCV, and ABX). Turtle ABX most likely lost their thread-trailer due to a combination of weather conditions and entanglement because we found the thread-trailer amongst tree roots in the creek after a rain event. Stickel (1950) mentioned the importance of replacing tape periodically, especially after heavy rainfall. Jennings (2003) experienced loss of thread-trailers on juvenile Florida Box Turtles (*T. c. bauri*) due to humid and wet conditions in Florida. It is also necessary to wipe away any moisture from inside the thread-trailer each time you check on a turtle because, as Basinger (pers. comm. — http://boxturtle.dreamhosters.com/Thread%20Trailing.html; http://archive.fo/y3B0o) noted, if the thread-trailer becomes wet, the thread may jam inside the apparatus, but cotton or polyester thread will generally break so a turtle does not become trapped. However, nylon thread will not break as easily and could lead to entanglement (Legler 1960). Interestingly, in nearly all thread-trailer studies, only tape (not glue or putty) was used to hold the thread-trailer on the shell (e.g., Stickel 1950, Claussen et al. 1997, Iglay et al. 2006). We are uncertain why in all cases duct tape, glue, and epoxy putty were not sufficient for securing thread-trailers on the shell for extended time frames, but we do feel that the weather conditions contributed to the problem. Perhaps the large size and rounded shape of the apparatus also inhibited secure attachment or maybe the pill bottle surface was too smooth or made of a harder plastic that the tape, glue, and putty could not adhere to.